

Microsoft®



## Knowledge, Insight, Power

Increasing Industrial Distribution Profitability by Segmenting Customers, Suppliers and Products



# Executive Summary

In the current tough economic times, Industrial Distributors must use every advantage to improve operations, reduce costs and ultimately increase returns for stakeholders. Organizations that have access to and are willing to make better use of specific enterprise resource planning (ERP) applications can integrate the concepts of metrics and segmentation into the business for outsized results.

An important element in management theory is the simple concept: "If you cannot measure it, you cannot manage it." By examining how to make information more visible to the distributor, it is possible to increase their ability to take charge of and manage their environment.

After the metrics are available, the use of Segmentation becomes a viable option to better manage the assets of an organization. By using measurements of "value" and profitability" it is possible to divide groups (customers, suppliers, and products) into subcategories that can be individually managed to provide the greatest benefit to the operation. Industrial distributors can use this concept to provide an objective basis for increasing the return on all assets.

For many Industrial distributors, the greatest hurdle will be learning how to develop, track and report the information that is hidden in their automation systems. Information collected and stored within a modern and properly configured ERP system combined with functionality (such as Business Intelligence) can be used to fine tune pricing, inventory, purchasing, and customer service levels that are based on objective measures of value and contribution to profitability.

Companies who make more effective operational decisions that are based on metrics and segmentation can outperform the competition in all aspects of business.

# Introduction

There are unprecedented challenges in the current economic situation for industrial distributors. To survive, significant changes in management and operations will be necessary. The good news is that there are a number of techniques that have been defined in research then tested and proved in practice by sophisticated companies. These experiences provide a strategy for industrial distributors of all sizes and technical capabilities. Industrial distributors can take advantage of these methods with lower risk than the leaders but with a substantial upside.

There are four basic assumptions that are necessary to obtain the maximum results from the implementation of the concepts that are presented in this paper.

1. Industrial distributors can make more effective use of automation through the implementation and integration of management tools that are available from many ERP application providers.
2. The proper use of metrics will allow groups to be segmented into manageable units that will present opportunities to obtain greater returns from products, suppliers, and customers.
3. It is not necessary to treat every trading partner or product equally.
4. Unequal treatment will yield greater returns on invested capital, effort, and training.

It is also worth noting that some ERP systems may not be capable of easily retrieving and massaging the appropriate collected data. The processes described in this paper require a sufficiently sophisticated set of applications that are capable of retrieving data that is captured at different points in different applications as well as being able to bring together, for export or reporting, non-traditional information.

## Segmentation as a business process

Segmentation is a process of dividing groups into subgroups that can be better managed and deployed. The major groupings to be discussed in this paper are: Customers, Vendors or Suppliers, and Products.

Successful segmentation first requires an understanding of the metrics that reflect the health and direction of the various aspects of business. It is a standard business adage that you can only manage something you can measure. It is easy to understand sales or other parameters that are easily retrieved from the application systems. It is more difficult to measure "customer satisfaction" because that is not a number in the financial reporting application.

We need to step back to review the definitions to be used. Data is the raw material of metrics. These are the sales numbers, line item detail, and other data entered into the computer during the daily action of operating the business. All of this data is collected in various data bases that are required to process the transactions of the business.

When these data elements are organized and displayed or printed, they become information. Information is something that staff and management can read and react to. A list of outstanding accounts receivable allows one to follow up on any customers that are late paying their invoices.

As the simple reports are used, procedures are developed and the reports are modified to support those procedures. Following the same example, the accounts receivable A/R aging report may be sorted by due date in groups of 30 / 60 / 90 days or greater. The procedure may be to no longer offer credit to anyone in the 90 day plus report while still trying to collect, restrict the additional credit allowed to anyone in the 60 day list, and just call to inquire on the 30 day list. This process turns information into "intelligence" or actionable metrics.

The highest level is reached when a process becomes institutionalized, then one has achieved "corporate wisdom." The down side to corporate wisdom is that companies do not quickly recognize when the old wisdom is no longer working.

A second lesson of metrics is that managers get paid for only one thing. That is to move the numbers. It is, therefore, imperative that the organization agree on the following requirements prior to starting any process that will rely on the new metrics.

- 1. What the metric represents:** This may be "contribution to gross profit" that can be measured on a line item basis, an average order basis, a product basis, or a vendor basis. It may be a measure of shipping errors by shift by error code. Whatever the definition, everyone must agree on what it is.
- 2. How the metric is created:** This is a detail definition of where the numbers come from and the calculations that are used to derive the final metric. All persons responsible for the results need to understand and agree on the techniques that are used or they will not trust or use the metric. It is important to allow input from the line staff about how the measurement is defined.
- 3. Where we are:** There must be a starting point. In many cases, the metrics will be new to the organization, so there may be a short time necessary to accumulate some history to provide an accurate knowledge of the current situation.
- 4. Where we want to be:** For every metric, there needs to be a REALISTIC goal. For example, it might be great to have zero shipping errors out of a billion dollar distribution center, but that may not be realistic. It may be realistic to expect less than 0.1 percent of line items that are shipped to be returned. (Some may come back due to customer ordering error.)
- 5. Time frames:** Set intermediary points on the way to the ultimate goal. Using the shipping example, a short term goal of 6 months may be set to reduce all errors to less than 2 percent with 18 months to reach the 0.1 percent goal. All time frames must be accepted by the team responsible for meeting the goal. These timeframes cannot be imposed. If they are considered impossible, all of the potential benefits may be lost.
- 6. Approved resources:** The responsible team has the right to request additional resources to meet their goals. There may be a need for additional handheld devices, a more accurate scale (part of a quality shipping process), or additional people. Again, without the proper tools and resources, the team may give up before they start. The resources won't be unlimited, so negotiation where everyone is part of the process is important.

After the basics are agreed on, it is time to determine what metrics should be used that will provide the greatest benefit, in the least time, using the fewest resources, and minimizing any risk. That is a lot to ask and may not be accomplished every time, but it should be the goal.

Given the groups defined above, it is important to determine the metrics that will help improve operations in terms of customers, products, and suppliers. There are no single right answers, but there are some common themes that are seen among companies that use the concepts.

“Contribution to gross margin” is a common measure. It requires simple Activity Based Costing (ABC) methods. The company must be able to measure the actual cost to process an order, complete a purchase, provide customer support, and perform other regular operations. After this is defined, it is possible to look at the gross sales by any of the three major categories and determine a ranking of customers, products, and suppliers.

Ranking is important to the workings of segmentation. Many distributors first learned about segmentation when setting up early inventory systems. There was A, B, C, and D inventory. In many cases, the ranking was based on “turns.” This then drove the calculations for how much inventory to maintain and how often to order.

In its simplest form, the rankings discussed in this paper provide a foundation for understanding the principles, but they do not necessarily define specific opportunities for any given company. Management needs to work with their trusted advisors to identify the appropriate metrics, segmentation, and differentiation to obtain the greatest benefit.

One of the first lessons of segmentation is that not all groups need to be treated the same. Companies can offer rewards, discounts, greater sales emphasis, perks, and more business to those parties who, by their actions, become more important to the operation. For this discussion, there are three definitions that are required.

1. A good customer is one that generates a profit.
2. A good product is one that generates a positive contribution to gross margin.
3. A good supplier is one who through their actions helps the enterprise succeed.

For example, customers who are part of a segment defined as: “the top 20 percent,” do not have to and should not be treated the same as a small volume customer whose contribution falls in the lowest 10 percent of customers and is probably negative. Anything that can be done to correct the latter’s status is worthwhile. If they cannot be moved into profitability, then they may need to be “fired” unless there is some overriding reason to allow the status quo to continue.

To many, this appears to be unfair. Salespeople will want all of their customers to be treated as if they were in the top group due to “competitive” pressure. Vendors expect every distributor to work hard to promote their products and will expect equal treatment for all of their lines. Of course that is not good business, but many companies are unable to differentiate their action at a sufficient level. It is the ability to treat one group significantly better than another, which will create the competition to become part of that group. It is what allows resources to be directed to the greatest good for the company.

If we continue with the example above, companies in that top 20 percent may be treated to special pricing, special promotions, special events, and extra services. A large volume customer who is not treated as such will complain to their salesperson that as a big customer they deserve the same or better treatment. It will take training and discipline so that the sales force will understand the ramifications of less profitable customers and work with them to increase their margins (or other measures) so they are part of the top group. As the culture changes, there will be positive competition to get all of one’s major accounts into the “platinum” group.

This competition is good. It will focus the sales people on what makes a good customer. It is not just volume, although that has a major impact. It includes all the ways a customer uses limited resources when dealing with the company. Some of the common metrics include average days to pay, number of returns, special services, extra training, and all other benefits that reduce the contribution to gross margin. As soon as the sales force understands the drivers, they will do what is necessary to take care of the customers who count the most.

It is also necessary to understand that in a multicultural, multinational environment not all segmentations need be or should be the same across international borders. Individual customs, mores, and regulations work against a single standard segmentation process no matter how logical or desired. Understanding geographic, political, and cultural differences is essential for segmentation to deliver the potential that is possible.

Due to these known differences, the discussion that follows should be understood to work within an homogeneous cultural area. Where possible, it should be extended to cover as much territory as feasible. However, do not dismiss cultural differences. They are critical to success in many areas of the world.

**Customers:** The bell curve is a standard statistical concept that works for most large groups. The following is a simple division of the customer base that should work for small-to-midsized companies. Larger firms may divide customers based on other parameters. For example, by country of origin or there may be different groups for original equipment manufacturers (OEM) customers that are separate from maintenance, repair, and operations (MRO) customers.

The minimal segments are "best customers" (top 10 to 15 percent that are measured by contribution to gross margin), "profitable customers" (customers with a positive contribution to gross margin), and the "bottom customers" or "probationary" (those who do not have a positive gross margin contribution). This last group is made up of two subgroups. The first are "prospects" or potentially profitable customers (new customers or those that are growing and can be projected to becoming profitable). The second subgroup are the "should be fired" customers, those who are not making a positive contribution to gross margin and probably will not in the foreseeable future.

Best customers are not necessarily the ones who spend the most money but are the most profitable. By using activity based costing (as defined above), it is possible to calculate contribution to net margin for any customer. Then the customer base can be forced ranked from top to bottom.

There is also value in using metrics to define a Pain Factor (PF) for customers. Those who require the most handholding, are always late paying, require many special services, and provide little or no gross margin contribution need to have their pricing increased. In some firms, a PF is assigned to every customer. A scale of .95 to 2.0 is often used. The best customers get the lowest PF. When pricing an order, bid, or special purchase, the best price is multiplied by the PF to arrive at the selling price. A very high PF may mean that a customer will pay double the amount of the best customer. If the person goes somewhere else for their products, that's all right because they are not profitable. On the other hand, if they stay and pay the higher price, they become a good customer.

It is also common for salespeople to not earn commissions for the customers in the lowest group. However, it is also reasonable to give a bonus for all new customers if that is a goal of the company. Then another bonus may be paid if and when the new customer moves from probationary to profitable.

**Suppliers:** The bell curve for suppliers is significantly different. There are frequently fewer choices for some products and even a nominally poor supplier is better than none at all. "Great suppliers" are at the top and are real partners. "Adequate suppliers" are those that will help out but are not willing to go the extra mile. "Bottom suppliers" should be replaced, if possible. As noted, this may not be possible, and the company will have to work hard to maintain margins and customers under less than ideal circumstances.

Each supplier needs to be rated on a number of factors. Usually included are on-time deliveries, quality of product (lack of customer complaints or freight claims), accuracy of billing, added value such as training, participation in distributor events, value of co-op dollars, and so on. Additional metrics should include lead generation, integration of application systems, and support for “ship and debit” programs. The bottom line is that a distributor needs to get the right quantity of the right product delivered at the right time, one that is packaged properly, fairly priced, and does not need inspecting upon receipt.

Real partners make it easy to do business with them. They help to maintain optimal inventory levels, they provide pricing assistance for special bids for large orders, and they are available to assist with client issues that may arise. This top group may provide special services such as vendor managed inventory (VMI), collaborative forecasting, and transparency into their production so that inventory availability can be projected and accurately planned for specific customer needs.

At the other extreme are suppliers who are always late, cannot seem to get their billing correct, and are always having to fix problems. In cases such as these, it may actually be better to not offer a product rather than manage the problems that are associated with a less than desirable supplier. It is also possible to purchase certain products from jobbers or other middlemen, who take the burden of dealing with less than desirable suppliers.

Commodities are a special situation. Where there are many suppliers available, the industrial distributor is in charge and can demand specific service levels.

**Products:** As with customers and suppliers, products have their own bell curve. They are rated on ease of sale, exclusivity, follow-on sales (especially consumables), and market recognition. They can be evaluated by contribution to gross margin as well as many intangible metrics.

One of the limited resources that can often be better managed is sales. Usually, sales people take the easiest route to make their numbers. As soon as product value to the company can be defined, it is possible to change commission structures and other incentives to encourage more sales from products that yield the best results for the company, not just the best commission for the sales force.

An example is to make more money on consumables. Usually these are considered commodity products and, therefore, have the most price competition and sensitivity. Whenever they can, sales will drop prices to gain higher volume, often without higher contribution.

One simple change would be to link the consumable to the tool that uses it. By combining the products, it is possible to charge a premium for the commodity. For example, if the industrial distributor sells automatic nailing guns and the associated nails, it is common to offer a discount on the gun if the customer purchases a minimum number of nails. The combined income is higher than if the two products were sold separately. In some cases, distributors will even lend the guns at no cost, but they will require service contracts for maintenance and a minimum number of nails per period. This has the added benefit of supporting a service item that can yield greater contribution than the underlying sale.

Timely and accurate metrics are necessary to properly link product and services into groups that will yield higher returns. After this has been completed, it has the additional advantage of removing raw price as the only negotiating point for sales. Then they are selling service and support, which can have a higher perceived value.

**A Case Study** (based on customer segmentation that worked to decrease costs, and increase revenues and profits without negatively affecting customer satisfaction)

The distributor provided new and used equipment (which they refurbished and warranted) to a wide range of users across the country from a single warehouse in the United States. The products were considered commodities and could be recycled (after inspection, cleaning, testing and correction of problems) from previous users. There were a significant number of competitors in the business who generally kept prices at a very low margin. Not every part was available from every supplier all of the time.

At the company in question, sales were up slightly, but profits were down for the first time in their history. The company was at a loss as to how to proceed.

A diagnostic was performed using basic ABC—where costs were assigned to every process in the customer support procedures, including all requirements to move from the order entry to shipping through invoicing and collection). This allowed the company to better understand the potential distribution of customers in the sales process measured by gross margin contribution.

When the analysis was completed, the company found that the traditional expectation that the top 20 percent of the customers would provide 80 percent of the profit was incorrect. The 80/20 rule turned out to be wrong.

The top 20 percent of the customers turned out to be contributing 117 percent of the profit. A simplistic approach would be to fire the bottom 80 percent. Of course that is not possible or even desirable. The ability to sell larger volumes had benefits in purchasing and the negotiation of other services such as freight rates.

The real question was “how to make the bottom group profitable” without losing all of their business. There was not an easy solution. After much discussion, it was decided to do an experiment on a segment of the business representing the lowest 10 percent of customers based on Gross Margin Contribution.

The following changes were recommended. First, the customers would no longer have a dedicated sales person assigned to them, and any sales would not generate commissions. That created a revolt from the sales force who suggested that their customers in the group would soon be top performers. The problem was settled by modifying the commission rule so that commissions could not be earned on customers in this group until they rose above the bottom 20 percent. The salespeople quickly relented. These customers would only be allowed to place orders through the internet or by calling into the general customer service desk.

Rule number two was that such customers could only buy what was in stock, no special orders, and no backorders. Holding orders to find product had a very high cost.

The third rule was that all orders had to be paid for by credit card only. There would be no billing and no terms.

Fourth, all product was sent by standard shipping. No special shipping instructions, carriers, packaging, or even collect shipments would be allowed.

Finally, all prices were raised 15 percent.

The Sales force predicted the company would lose all of the customers in the bottom group. Management accepted that the customers might be lost, but since they were sure that these customers were not profitable, it was alright if they left.

After six months, the results surprised some people. Not one customer was lost. Interviews provided information that explained the value of segmentation. It turned out that most of the customers were happy not to have to call the sales force for orders. That process took longer than they wanted to spend "chatting" with the sale people over the phone.

More important, they only bought from the firm because product was in stock. If something was not available, they would have to go elsewhere anyway. Most orders were reactionary and not bought for future needs, but for immediate use.

The credit card requirements turned out to be a desired benefit for the ordering organization because they received frequent flyer/guest/buyer points that the boss was glad to earn. The standard shipping was fine with everyone. The big surprise was the pricing did not become a problem. Customers told the interviewers that they bought infrequently and the most important issue was availability of the product they wanted. The extra cost was still less than the value of the purchasers time to find and price an alternative supplier.

At the end of the experiment, segmentation allowed the company to move the bottom 10 percent of customers from unprofitable to very profitable. It allowed them to define a good customer as one they made a profit on.

## Conclusion

In the preceding case study, the distributor was able to define different levels of service and servicing that was based on the value of a customer segment to the company as a whole. This changed the dynamic of the customer interaction with the distributor and ultimately improved their relationships.

The sales people were given time to focus on improving returns from existing customers and encouraged to find new ones. The combined effect was greater sales and greater profits.

The success of segmentation requires that a company be willing to treat different groups differently. That is not always as easy as it sounds. It requires management input, commitment, and follow through.

Just as important is the requirement for application systems that are capable of and implemented to collect, store, retrieve, and convert data into information and ultimately corporate wisdom. Not every system that is in use can do this. Even if it can, historically, most distributors do not use many of the capabilities they have paid for.

Any operation reading this paper is capable of gaining great financial advantages through the use of segmentation. It can also benefit from other efficiencies made possible by a good ERP system, such as optimizing warehouse operations, better demand forecasting, and so on. All they need is a desire to succeed, willingness to change the way they do things, and a system integrator or partner willing to help them find the information locked in their databases.

## About the Author

Steve Epner is the founder of the Brown Smith Wallace Consulting Group. Steve is the Innovator in Residence at Saint Louis University and a member of the faculty there, at the University of Industrial Distribution, and at Arizona State University for the Certified Professional Manufacturers Representative program. Steve has a Bachelor of Science in Computer Science (1970) and a Master of Science (2005) from Purdue University.

## About Brown Smith Wallace Consulting

The Brown Smith Wallace Consulting Group has been serving the distribution community for more than 20 years through the publication of various Software Guides, an online evaluation center at [software4distributors.com](http://software4distributors.com) and assisting companies who need help selecting the best software packages for their business and maximizing the benefits from their investment.

## About Microsoft Dynamics

Microsoft Dynamics is a line of integrated, adaptable business management solutions that enables you and your people to make business decisions with greater confidence. Microsoft Dynamics works like familiar Microsoft software, such as Microsoft Office, which means less of a learning curve for your people, so they can get up and running quickly and focus on what's most important. Built to work with Microsoft technologies, it works easily with the systems your company already has implemented. By automating and streamlining financial, customer relationship, and supply chain processes, Microsoft Dynamics brings together people, processes, and technologies, helping increase the productivity and effectiveness of your business and helping you drive business success.

Worldwide 1-701-281-6500

United States and Canada, toll free, 1-888-477-7989

[www.microsoft.com/dynamics](http://www.microsoft.com/dynamics)

The information contained in this document represents the current view of Microsoft Corporation on the issues discussed as of the date of publication. Because Microsoft must respond to changing market conditions, this document should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, and Microsoft cannot guarantee the accuracy of any information presented after the date of publication.

This White Paper is for informational purposes only.

MICROSOFT MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED, OR STATUTORY, AS TO THE INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT.

Complying with all applicable copyright laws is the responsibility of the user. Without limiting the rights under copyright, no part of this document may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise), or for any purpose, without the express written permission of Microsoft Corporation. Microsoft may have patents, patent applications, trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property rights covering subject matter in this document. Except as expressly provided in any written license agreement from Microsoft, the furnishing of this document does not give you any license to these patents, trademarks, copyrights, or other intellectual property.

© 2008 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Microsoft, Microsoft Dynamics, and the Microsoft Dynamics logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and/or other countries. The names of actual companies and products mentioned herein may be the trademarks of their respective owners.

## About iCepts Technology Group, Inc.



For over 30 years, iCepts Technology Group, Inc. has been working with wholesale distribution, manufacturing, and other industries with the latest technology offerings to help our customers achieve efficiencies, streamline their supply chain processes, gain access to vital business reporting and controlling cost. Contact us today to learn more and for additional information!

Phone: 717-704-1000 ext. 3010 Email: [info@icepts.com](mailto:info@icepts.com) Web: [www.icepts.com](http://www.icepts.com)